

Assignment: ABCDE-1

This report summarizes the overall quality of your edited manuscript. For each section, we provide specific comments on the quality and these are substantiated by examples (where available)

Structural Review

Overall Manuscript

- > This manuscript is in line with the intended audience.
- Some rearrangement and rewriting is required to achieve a logical structure. Please refer to our comments in the remark file.

Comment/Example: Please refer to the comment regarding deletion of certain text so as to meet the specified word count.

Title & Abstract

- > The title of this manuscript is appropriate as it is informative and concise.
- > In addition, the title clearly conveys the aim of the study.
- Although the abstract had a logical structure, some changes were made for clarity.
- In the abstract, the purpose, methods and materials, results, and conclusions are appropriately stated.

Introduction

- The introduction clearly states the purpose and approach of the study.
- > The statement of the relevance of the findings is included in the Introduction.
- In the Introduction, the scope of the investigation is indicated.
- This section does not state the limits of the problem and investigation. Please include the statement in the Introduction.

Comment/Example: Note that the limitation has not been mentioned here, and we suggest you add this at the end of the discussion.

Materials and Methods

- Appropriate description of the methodology is provided, and it can be reproduced by another investigator.
 - Comment/Example:
- > The Methods section includes appropriate presentation of the measurement procedures.



Assignment: ABCDE-1

This report summarizes the overall quality of your edited manuscript. For each section, we provide specific comments on the quality and these are substantiated by examples (where available)

Tests of statistical significance are appropriately conducted. The samples are truly randomized, and the data are statistically significant.

Results

- ➤ No discussion is present in the Results section; this section contains only results.
- ➤ All the presented data are relevant to the thesis.
- The key results presented in tables and figures are appropriately summarized in the text.

Discussion

- All key results are discussed in light of whether they did or did not support the hypothesis, and the discussion is convincing.
- ➤ The discussion is of appropriate length.
- ➤ The content presented in the Discussion section is appropriate and does not repeat information from the Results section.
- Some conclusions are not clear.

 Comment/Example: Note that journal guidelines do not specify whether a conclusion is required.

 Howvever, we suggest you please add the same to elucidate on your conclusions.
- ➤ All generalizations presented in this manuscript can be justified on the basis of the findings presented.

Peripheral Text Review

Figures and/or Tables

- The figures and tables complement the main text, without any discrepancy.
- ➤ They have also been cited in the main text at appropriate places.
- Note that the legends are complete and accurate.
- In this manuscript, every figure and/or table can stand alone without reference to the main text.

Bibliography



Assignment: ABCDE-1

This report summarizes the overall quality of your edited manuscript. For each section, we provide specific comments on the quality and these are substantiated by examples (where available)

- ➤ All statements of fact are supported by appropriate references.
- In this manuscript, the literature cited is very pertinent to the investigation.
- ➤ All references cited follow a consistent format.

Content, Terminology, Style, and Format Review

Content

> This manuscript has no gaps in content.

Terminology

- The terms used in the manuscript are valid in the field.
- > The scientific terminology and representation in the paper are appropriate and consistent.
- The scientific nomenclature (gene names, protein names) is in order throughout the manuscript.

Style

The capitalization/italicization/number style is as per the conventions of the subject area.

Journal Format

- ➤ The manuscript does not follow most journal guidelines.

 Comment/Example: Please refer to all the comments under the journal formatting section in the Editor Message file.
- We have added a remark for the author to check what needs to be done next, where applicable. Comment/Example: Please refer to all the comments under the journal formatting section in the Editor Message file.



Assignment: ABCDE-1

This report summarizes the overall quality of your edited manuscript. For each section, we provide specific comments on the quality and these are substantiated by examples (where available)

➤ We have verified that the structure and/or length of the abstract conforms to the journal guidelines.

Comment/Example: No template to be applied.